MAKING FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL nays 2, as follows: **YEAR 2001**

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. AL-LARD). The continuing resolution just arrived. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A joint resolution (H.J. Res 118) making further continuing appropriations for the Fiscal Year 2001, and for other purposes.

The Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The joint resolution having been considered read the third time, the question is, Shall the joint resolution pass?

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays on passage of the resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll. The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. NICKLES. I announce that the Senator from Missouri (Mr. ASHCROFT). the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BOND), the Senator from Montana (Mr. BURNS), the Senator from Colorado (Mr. CAMPBELL), the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. GRAMS), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. HELMS), the Senator from Texas (Mrs. HUTCHISON), the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. INHOFE). the Senator from Vermont (Mr. JEF-FORDS), the Senator from Arizona (Mr. KYL), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. LUGAR), the Senator from Arizona (Mr. McCain), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. McConnell), the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. NICKLES), the Senator from Delaware (Mr. ROTH), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. Sessions), the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. THOMAS), the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. Coch-RAN), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO), and the Senator from Washington (Mr. GORTON) are necessarily absent.

I further announce that if present and voting, the Senator from Montana (Mr. Burns) and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. HELMS) would each vote "ave."

Mr. REID. I announce that the Senator from California (Mrs. BOXER), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. BREAUX), the Senator from Nevada (Mr. BRYAN), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN), the Senator from California (Mrs. Fein-STEIN), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. HOLLINGS), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. KOHL), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. LAUTENBERG), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. TORRICELLI), the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. WELLSTONE), and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) are necessarily absent.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) would vote "aye."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber who desire to vote?

[Rollcall Vote No. 291 Leg.]

YEAS-67 Abraham Feingold Movnihan Akaka Fitzgerald Murkowski Murray Bancus Graham Reed Bayh Gramm Reid Bennett Grassley Robb Riden Gregg Roberts Bingaman Hagel Rockefeller Brownback Harkin Santorum Bunning Hatch Sarbanes Hutchinson Byrd Schumer Chafee, L. Inouye Shelby Cleland Johnson Smith (NH) Kennedy Collins Smith (OR) Conrad Kerrey Snowe Craig Kerrv Specter Daschle Landrieu DeWine Thompson Levin Lincoln Dodd Thurmond Domenici Lott Voinovich

NAYS-2

Warner

Wyden

Leahy Stevens

Dorgan

Edwards

NOT VOTING-31

Mack

Miller

Mikulski

Ashcroft	Gorton	Lugar
Bond	Grams	McCain
Boxer	Helms	McConnell
Breaux	Hollings	Nickles
Bryan	Hutchison	Roth Sessions Thomas Torricelli Wellstone
Burns	Inhofe	
Campbell	Jeffords	
Cochran	Kohl	
Crapo	Kyl	
Durbin	Lautenberg	weiistone
Feinstein	Lieberman	

The joint resolution (H.J. Res. 118) was passed.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote, and I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

FIGHTING FOR FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to attempt to put some transparence on what is going on around here.

This summer, the Republicans very successfully convinced the American people that their party was for estate tax relief and marriage penalty relief and that the Democrats were not. Well, my friends, that is simply not the case. The Democrats are for eliminating the estate tax for small businesses and family farms valued at \$8 million and for all other estates worth \$4 million. And, Mr. President, it is the Democratic plan for marriage penalty relief that completely eliminates the marriage penalty found in 65 provisions in the tax code.

So, isn't it a bit frightening that the Republicans have so successfully twisted the debate so as to mislead the American people into thinking that they are actually the party supportive of tax cuts. Reality is, however, that they are the party of political rhetoric and political maneuvering. If the Republicans really wanted to give the American people estate tax relief and

The result was announced—yeas 67, marriage penalty relief, they could have—they had many, many opportunities for sending the President real relief. Instead of giving the American people empty rhetoric—we could be sitting here today with elimination of the estate tax and marriage penalty tax relief for virtually all Americans.

Now, why do I bring all this up. Because it is happening over and over again. The Republicans are misleading the American people on a host of critical pieces of legislation, including: patients bill of rights, prescription drug coverage, minimum wage increase, tax cuts, health insurance coverage and education.

Instead of actually providing the American people with real relief—this year—the Republicans prefer the politics.

I have heard from constituents who ask me-"If both Republicans and Democrats want patients bill of rights, then why can't the Republicans and Democrats just work together to get something done?" That is an excellent question. Why?

Why is it that we cannot just reach agreement? Is it that we are missing some magical force here in Washington to bring bipartisanship to all? Unfortunately, the answer is that the Republicans want the rhetoric-and the Democrats want real reform. So, until the Republicans stop pandering and posturing and start sincerely and openly working together, there can be no agreements. You see, the Republicans have a more difficult time even working with each other—there is nothing partisan or bipartisan about that. Yet they have misled the American people to think that the Democrats—not the Republicans—are the ones holding up the works and refusing to work in a bipartisan manner. Mr. President, that is truly overstepping the bounds of the reality of what is going on up here.

Our efforts to fight for fundamental fairness in health, education and tax cuts, are being twisted into political pandering and posturing by the Republicans. But all we are doing is fighting for the fundamental fairness that the American people have fought for by working hard every day of their lives.

Let me illustrate this by highlighting the differences between the policies of the Republicans and the Democrats with respect to the bill that we have before us.

The Democrats are fighting to ensure that we do as much as possible to meet America's need for safe and modern schools.

Democrats solution—enact the bipartisan Rangel-Johnson proposal to finance \$25 billion in bonds to construct and modernize 6,000 schools.

Republican's bill-is thoroughly inadequate—it provides no guaranteed funding for urgent school repairs, provides only \$16 billion in bonds, and does not include the important Davis-Bacon